

Condensed Survey Results for Valleys and Hills (Region 4)

I. Threats to habitats in Valleys and Hills (Region 4)

Criteria for inclusion: The following **categories** of threats and **specific threats** were identified as “significant” or “moderate.” The percentages listed below are the combined proportion of respondents indicating these threats as “significant” or “moderate,” excluding those who answered “I don’t know.” N indicates the number of individuals that selected this option.

| | | | |
|---|----------|----|-----------------|
| Agriculture and aquaculture: <i>Threats from farming and ranching as a result of agricultural expansion and intensification, including silviculture, mariculture, and aquaculture</i> | | | |
| | 94.4% | 84 | |
| Conversion of habitat to annual crops | 93.8% | 76 | Increase |
| Annual and perennial nontimber crops | 86.6% | 71 | Increase |
| Livestock farming and ranching | 49.4% | 39 | Remain the same |
| Wood and pulp plantations | 17.9% | 12 | Remain the same |
| Aquaculture | 8.9% | 5 | Remain the same |
| Invasives and other problematic species and genes: <i>Threats from non-native and native plants, animals, pathogens/microbes, or genetic materials that have or are predicted to have harmful effects on biodiversity following their introduction, spread, and/or increase in abundance</i> | | | |
| | 75.6% | 68 | |
| Invasive/alien species | 100.0% | 67 | Increase |
| Problematic native species (e.g. overabundant native deer or algae) | 59.1% | 39 | Remain the same |
| Plant diseases | 44.6% | 25 | Remain the same |
| Introduced genetic material (such as crop, seed stock, biocontrol, stocked/released species, etc.) | 42.0% | 21 | Increase |
| Residential and commercial development: <i>Threats from human settlements or other nonagricultural land uses with a substantial footprint</i> | | | |
| | 70.0% | 63 | |
| Housing and urban areas | 0.967742 | 60 | Increase |
| Commercial and industrial areas | 0.881356 | 52 | Increase |
| Tourism and recreation areas (e.g., sites with a substantial footprint – golf courses, campgrounds, etc.) | 0.4 | 24 | Remain the same |
| Energy production and mining: <i>Threats from production of nonbiological resources</i> | | | |
| | 68.9% | 62 | |
| Mining and quarrying | 93.2% | 55 | Remain the same |
| Fossil fuel energy production | 80.0% | 44 | Remain the same |
| Oil and gas drilling | 63.2% | 36 | Remain the same |
| Shale gas development (e.g., fracking) | 60.4% | 29 | Remain the same |
| Renewable energy production | 18.4% | 9 | Increase |
| Human intrusion and disturbance: <i>Threats from human activities that alter, destroy, and disturb habitats and species associated with nonconsumptive uses of biological resources.</i> | | | |
| | 63.1% | 53 | |
| Recreation activities (e.g., ATVs, trail use, horseback riding, high-speed boating, canoeing) | 45.1% | 23 | Increase |
| Pollution: <i>Threats from introduction of exotic and/or excess materials or energy from point and nonpoint sources</i> | | | |
| | 61.4% | 54 | |
| Agriculture, residential, and forestry effluents | 88.0% | 44 | Increase |
| Point source pollution from commercial/industrial sources | 72.5% | 37 | Remain the same |

| | | | |
|--|-------|----|-----------------|
| Runoff from roads/service corridors | 69.8% | 37 | Increase |
| Household sewage and urban water waste | 67.3% | 35 | Remain the same |
| Air pollution (e.g., smoke, mercury emissions) | 64.0% | 32 | Remain the same |
| Chemical spills | 54.9% | 28 | Remain the same |
| Excess energy (e.g., noise/light pollution, warm water discharge, etc.) | 38.8% | 19 | Remain the same |
| Garbage and solid waste | 38.0% | 19 | Remain the same |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Natural systems modifications: <i>Threats from human activities that alter, destroy, and disturb habitats and species associated with nonconsumptive uses of biological resources</i> | 59.8% | 52 | |
| Conversion of natural habitats to other land uses | 92.0% | 46 | Increase |
| Dams and water management/use | 39.1% | 18 | Increase |
| Fire and fire suppression | 32.0% | 16 | Remain the same |
| Over-mowing of natural areas | 31.3% | 15 | Remain the same |
| Log jam removal | 20.5% | 9 | Remain the same |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Transportation and service corridors: <i>Threats from long, narrow transport corridors and the vehicles that use them, including associated wildlife mortality</i> | 41.9% | 36 | |
| Roads and railroads | 79.4% | 27 | Increase |
| Utility and service lines | 45.7% | 16 | Increase |
| Shipping lanes | 23.3% | 7 | Remain the same |
| Flight paths | 3.4% | 1 | Remain the same |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Climate change and severe weather: <i>Long-term climactic changes that may be linked to global warming and other severe climactic or weather events outside the natural range of variation that could wipe out vulnerable species or habitat.</i> | 35.0% | 28 | |
| Changing frequency, duration, and intensity of floods | 96.3% | 26 | Increase |
| Changing frequency, duration, and intensity of drought | 85.2% | 23 | Increase |
| Shifting and alteration of habitats due to climate change | 77.8% | 21 | Increase |
| Temperature extremes | 73.1% | 19 | Increase |
| Shifting seasons/phenology | 70.8% | 17 | Increase |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Other stressors: <i>Additional threats and stressors directly affecting habitats, such as diseases and genetic diversity issues</i> | 30.6% | 19 | |
| Diseases | 85.7% | 12 | Increase |
| Low genetic diversity (due to reduced population size, species inbreeding, etc.) | 82.4% | 14 | Increase |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Biological resource use: <i>Threats from consumptive use of "wild" biological resources including deliberate and unintentional harvesting effects; also persecution or control of specific species</i> | 20.9% | 18 | |
| Forestry practices (e.g., silvicultural methods leading to the lack of early successional habitat) | 58.8% | 10 | Remain the same |

I. *Conservation actions for habitats in Valleys and Hills (Region 4)*

Criteria for inclusion: The following **categories** of actions and **specific actions** were identified as “very important” or “moderately important.” The percentages listed below are the combined proportion of respondents indicating these actions as “very important” or “moderately important,” excluding those who answered “I don’t know.” N indicates the number of individuals that selected this option.

| | | | |
|--|---|---------|-----|
| Land/Water/Species Management: Actions directed at conserving or restoring sites, habitats, and the wider environment as well as actions directed at managing or restoring species, focused on the species of concern itself. | | 91.7% | 77 |
| 1. | Manage urban woodlots | 100.0% | 4 |
| 2. | Restore and integrate diversity of habitats into developed landscapes | 100.0% | 4 |
| 3. | Restore habitats and natural systems in HABITAT | 94.5% | 69 |
| 4. | <i>Restore habitats and natural systems in aquatic systems</i> | 90.0% | 18 |
| 5. | <i>Restore habitats and natural systems in barren lands</i> | 0.0% | 0 |
| 6. | <i>Restore habitats and natural systems in forests</i> | 91.7% | 11 |
| 7. | <i>Restore habitats and natural systems in grasslands</i> | 100.0% | 20 |
| 8. | <i>Restore habitats and natural systems in wetlands</i> | 95.2% | 20 |
| 9. | <i>Restore habitats and natural systems in subterranean systems</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 10. | Reduce losses of fish and wildlife habitats (due to agriculture, urban sprawl, commercial development, etc.) | 93.5% | 87 |
| 11. | Control invasive species in HABITAT | 92.6% | 87 |
| 12. | <i>Control invasive species in agricultural lands</i> | 88.2% | 15 |
| 13. | <i>Control invasive species in aquatic systems (e.g., Asian carp, zebra mussels, invasive aquatic plants)</i> | 85.0% | 17 |
| 14. | <i>Control invasive species in barren lands</i> | 0.0% | 0 |
| 15. | <i>Control invasive species in developed lands</i> | 100.0% | 4 |
| 16. | <i>Control invasive species in forests</i> | 100.0% | 12 |
| 17. | <i>Control invasive species in grasslands</i> | 95.0% | 19 |
| 18. | <i>Control invasive species in wetlands</i> | 95.2% | 20 |
| 19. | <i>Control invasive species in subterranean systems</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 20. | Reestablish natural disturbance regimes in HABITAT | 92.3% | 48 |
| 21. | <i>Reestablish natural disturbance regimes in barren lands</i> | #DIV/0! | 0 |
| 22. | <i>Reestablish natural disturbance regimes in forests</i> | 83.3% | 10 |
| 23. | <i>Reestablish natural disturbance regimes in grasslands</i> | 100.0% | 20 |
| 24. | <i>Reestablish natural disturbance regimes in wetlands</i> | 90.0% | 18 |
| 25. | <i>Reestablish natural disturbance regimes in subterranean systems</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 26. | Promote diversity of wetland types and successional stages | 90.5% | 19 |
| 27. | Promote diversity of grassland types and successional stages | 90.0% | 18 |
| 28. | Reduce stream bank erosion | 90.0% | 18 |
| 29. | Develop and promote farming technologies and practices that have conservation benefits (e.g., cover crops, no till) | 89.1% | 82 |
| 30. | Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in HABITAT | 88.3% | 83 |
| 31. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in agricultural lands</i> | 94.1% | 16 |
| 32. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in aquatic systems</i> | 80.0% | 16 |
| 33. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in barren lands</i> | 0.0% | 0 |
| 34. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in developed lands</i> | 100.0% | 4 |
| 35. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in forests</i> | 91.7% | 11 |
| 36. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in grasslands</i> | 85.0% | 17 |
| 37. | <i>Link existing habitat blocks through corridor enhancement in wetlands</i> | 90.5% | 19 |
| 38. | <i>Enhance corridors in subterranean systems</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 39. | Restore and integrate diversity of habitats into crop-production dominated landscapes | 88.2% | 15 |
| 40. | Protect natural water regimes (e.g., withdraws, warm-water discharge) | 87.8% | 36 |
| 41. | Increase acres of riparian buffers | 87.0% | 80 |
| 42. | Reduce nutrient and toxin loads (e.g., heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, fertilizers, | 82.4% | 75 |

| | | | |
|-------|--|--------|-----|
| | insecticides) | | |
| 43. | Improve drainage management | 82.2% | 74 |
| 44. | Promote diversity of forest types and successional stages | 75.0% | 9 |
| 45. | Decrease number of combined sewer overflow events | 74.4% | 29 |
| 46. | Improve integrated pest management | 70.6% | 12 |
| 47. | Reduce stream head cutting | 64.7% | 11 |
| 48. | Increase acres enrolled in the Classified Forest and Wildlands Program | 64.1% | 59 |
| 49. | Control problematic native species in HABITAT | 61.7% | 58 |
| 50. | <i>Control problematic species (e.g., deer, raccoon, geese, domestic cat, feral hog) in agricultural lands</i> | 64.7% | 11 |
| 51. | <i>Control problematic native species in aquatic systems</i> | 50.0% | 10 |
| 52. | <i>Control problematic species (e.g., deer, raccoon, skunk, coyote, domestic cat, feral hog) in barren lands</i> | 0.0% | 0 |
| 53. | <i>Control problematic species (e.g., deer, raccoon, geese, domestic cat, feral hog, exotic/aggressive vegetation) in developed lands</i> | 75.0% | 3 |
| 54. | <i>Control problematic species (e.g., deer, raccoon, domestic cat, feral hog) in forests</i> | 75.0% | 9 |
| 55. | <i>Control problematic species (e.g., raccoon, skunk, coyote, domestic cat) in grasslands</i> | 45.0% | 9 |
| 56. | <i>Control problematic species (e.g., deer, raccoon, domestic cat, feral hog, exotic/aggressive vegetation) in wetlands</i> | 76.2% | 16 |
| 57. | <i>Control problematic native species in subterranean systems</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 58. | Protect and enhance undeveloped shorelines | 61.5% | 24 |
| 59. | Species reintroduction. Please specify: | 56.0% | 14 |
| 60. | Dam removal | 47.4% | 18 |
| 61. | Decrease E. coli counts | 47.1% | 16 |
| 62. | Manage biofuel grasslands | 47.1% | 16 |
| 63. | Reduce recreational overuse of HABITAT | 46.4% | 32 |
| 64. | <i>Reduce recreational overuse of aquatic systems</i> | 47.4% | 9 |
| 65. | <i>Reduce recreational overuse of forests</i> | 50.0% | 6 |
| 66. | <i>Reduce recreational overuse of grasslands</i> | 52.6% | 10 |
| 67. | <i>Reduce recreational overuse of wetlands</i> | 36.8% | 7 |
| 68. | <i>Reduce recreational overuse of subterranean systems</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 69. | Ex situ conservation (protection of a species outside of its natural habitat). Please specify: | 29.8% | 17 |
| 70. | Remove log jams | 25.0% | 5 |
| 71. | Mine reclamation | 14.0% | 8 |
| 72. | Protect adjacent buffer zones | 0.0% | 0 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| | Land/water protection: <i>Actions to identify, establish, or expand parks and other legally protected areas, and to protect resource rights</i> | 87.2% | 75 |
| 73. | Preserve currently existing corridors | 97.3% | 73 |
| 74. | Acquire conservation easements to protect important wildlife habitats | 96.0% | 72 |
| 75. | Reduce conversion to cropland | 94.6% | 70 |
| 76. | Acquire currently unprotected HABITAT | 93.5% | 58 |
| 77. | <i>Acquire currently unprotected aquatic systems (manage and/or educate for easement habitat values)</i> | 100.0% | 15 |
| 78. | <i>Acquire currently unprotected barren lands</i> | 50.0% | 1 |
| 79. | <i>Acquire currently unprotected forests</i> | 86.7% | 13 |
| 80. | <i>Acquire currently unprotected grasslands</i> | 93.3% | 14 |
| 81. | <i>Acquire currently unprotected wetlands</i> | 100.0% | 15 |
| 82. | <i>Acquire currently unprotected subterranean habitats</i> | N/A | N/A |
| 83. | Build/strengthen CRP partnerships | 91.4% | 64 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| | Education and awareness: <i>Actions directed at people to improve understanding and skills, and influence behavior.</i> | 77.3% | 68 |
| 84. | Educational programs specifically for K-12 | 92.6% | 63 |
| 85. | Training programs for stakeholders | 91.2% | 62 |
| 86. | Educational programs in general | 91.0% | 61 |

| | | | |
|---|---|--------|----|
| 87. | Improvement of signage and other communication materials in conservation areas | 53.7% | 36 |
| Livelihood, economic, and other incentives: <i>Actions to use economic and other incentives to influence behavior</i> | | | |
| 88. | Promote conservation payment programs (e.g., payment for ecosystem services, conservation easements) | 72.3% | 60 |
| 89. | Manage recreational opportunities to be compatible with fish and wildlife habitats | 91.7% | 55 |
| 90. | Promote market forces (e.g., creation of a nitrogen trading market, promotion of alternative agricultural markets) as a tool for conservation | 75.0% | 45 |
| 91. | Promote nonmonetary values of natural systems within the state | 71.7% | 38 |
| 92. | Promote nonmonetary values of natural systems within the state | 71.2% | 42 |
| 93. | Support substitution of alternatives for environmentally harmful products and processes | 62.1% | 36 |
| 94. | Link natural resources to livelihoods through nature tourism | 54.2% | 32 |
| Law and policy: <i>Actions to develop, change, influence, and help implement formal legislation, regulations, and voluntary standards.</i> | | | |
| 95. | Improve compliance with and enforcement of current policies | 68.3% | 56 |
| 96. | Reduce urban sprawl through planning and zoning | 84.9% | 45 |
| 97. | Increase regulations on invasive species | 72.2% | 39 |
| 98. | Set private sector standards and codes | 70.9% | 39 |
| 99. | Change current laws, policies, and regulations. Please specify: | 59.6% | 28 |
| 100. | | 52.8% | 19 |
| External capacity building: <i>Actions to build the infrastructure to do better conservation</i> | | | |
| 101. | Develop alliances and partnerships (e.g., between producers, landowners, and conservation professionals) | 66.7% | 54 |
| 102. | Promote use of research and science in conservation decision-making processes | 100.0% | 54 |
| 103. | Strengthen conservation financing | 96.3% | 52 |
| 104. | Increase state's capacity for research and monitoring of conservation actions | 90.6% | 48 |
| 105. | Promote green infrastructure | 88.9% | 48 |
| 106. | Develop institutions and civil society | 79.2% | 42 |
| 107. | | 61.0% | 25 |

II. Participation in conservation actions for habitats in Valleys and Hills (Region 4)

Criteria for inclusion: Respondents were asked if their agency/organization had acted or plans to take action in a general category of conservation actions within this region. "I don't know" responses to this question were excluded for this analysis. Responses were aggregated across all habitat types.

Have you taken (since 2005) or do you currently plan to take conservation actions in this category for fish and wildlife habitats within HABITAT in Valleys and Hills (Region 4)?

| | Yes | | No | | Total Responses |
|---|-------|----|-------|----|-----------------|
| | % | N | % | N | |
| Land/water protection | 69.5% | 41 | 30.5% | 18 | 59 |
| Land/water/species management | 80.4% | 45 | 19.6% | 11 | 56 |
| Education and awareness | 73.8% | 45 | 26.2% | 16 | 61 |
| Law and policy | 50.0% | 25 | 50.0% | 25 | 50 |
| Livelihood, economic, and other incentives | 20.4% | 10 | 79.6% | 39 | 49 |
| External capacity building | 34.0% | 16 | 66.0% | 31 | 47 |